

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Received in the Trial Courts State of Alaska Third District at Palmer

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA APR 22 2011

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT PALMER

MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH, Plaintiff, vs.

Clerk of the Trial Courts Deputy

Case No. 3PA 11-140# CI

JUSTIN ROUSSEAU,

Defendant.

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

THIS CAUSE coming to be heard upon the plaintiff, Matanuska-Susitna Borough's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, the court having considered the motion, any opposition and reply thereto:

THE COURT HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS OF FACT AND LAW:

- The Matanuska-Susitna Borough ("Borough") is a second class 1. Borough organized under the laws of the State of Alaska;
- This lawsuit was brought by the Matanuska-Susitna Borough against Justin Rousseau to compel compliance with the Matanuska-Susitna Borough Code of Ordinances as they relate to special event permits under MSB 8.55.010, 8.55.110;
- The property upon which the subject of this lawsuit was brought is MSB Tax Account #'s 25N05W15C002, 25N05W15C003;
- At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Justin Rousseau has been the buyer of the properties from the State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources under a contract for sale; property;
- At various times relevant to this lawsuit, Justin Rousseau has 5. violated MSB 8.55 by having mass outdoor gatherings attended by more than 500 people without obtaining the proper permits;
- The Borough was authorized to maintain this action pursuant to 6. 29.35.010(7), AS 29.35.010(14), AS 29.25.070(b), 1.45.010, MSB 1.45.0110(A), MSB 1.45.060(A), MSB 8.55.030, MSB 8.55.010(A)(3), and MSB 8.55.100;
- AS 29.25.070(b) and MSB 1.45.060 authorize the Superior Court to grant injunctive relief to enjoin a violation of a municipal ordinance upon a showing of an actual or threatened violation of the municipal ordinance;

MSB v. Rousseau | 3PA 11-1404 CI Preliminary Injunction Page 1 of 2



26

27

28

1 8. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough must show a likelihood of success on the merits in order to be granted a preliminary injunction at 2 this time: The exhibits and affidavit filed with the Motion for Preliminary 3 Injunction support a clear showing that the Matanuska-Susitna Borough is likely to prevail in proving that Justin Rousseau 4 violated MSB 8.55.030 twice and is threatening to violate this 5 ordinance again; 6 Under AS 29.25.070(b), an injunction on a preliminary basis is 10. appropriate at this time. 7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant, Justin Rousseau, his 8 agents, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert with 9 them are hereby PROHIBITED and ENJOINED from the continued maintenance 10 of a public nuisance in violation of MSB 8.55.030 at the subject 11 property. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this prohibition and preliminary 13 injunction shall remain in effect until vacated or expressly 14 superceded by order of court. 15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant shall cease his 16 violation of Borough code immediately and that he shall provide proof 17 to the Matanuska-Susitna Borough of the same. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

MSB v. Rousseau | 3PA 11-1404 CI Preliminary Injunction Page 2 of 2 a copy of indiction and the sent to CSSD Analy(s) of record Plaints and animant (Aschunb (2) Tell (a) The arising (se) of record:

Rec'd Jan

Deputy Clerk (1)