MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH AGRICULTURE ADVISORY BOARD

Chairman – LaMarr Anderson (01)	Tony West (03)	Dylan Blankenship (06)	Kenneth Hoffman (10)
Vice Chair – Misty O'Connor (09)	Alexandria Hoffman (04)	Adam Jenski (07)	Thomas Bergey (11)
VACANT (02)	Jozef Slowik (05)	Craig Hanson (08)	Abby Raisanen(12)

AGENDA

REGULAR MEETING
DSJ Building / Lower-Level Conference Room

May 21, 2025 4:30 P.M.

Call In #: 1-907-290-7880

Participant Code: 625 235 317#

I. CALL TO ORDER; ROLL CALL

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA; PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

III. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION (Limit 3 minutes)

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: April 16, 2025 Meeting

V. ITEMS OF BUSINESS

- A. Staff Report Suzanne Reilly
 - Updated List of Farms & Correlation
- B. Survey Questions
- C. Work Session Develop Draft Legislation
 Investigate the feasibility of smaller parcels and more subdivisions and develop a
 recommendation to the Assembly while ensuring de minimis loss of agricultural land.
- VI. MEMBER COMMENTS (Limit to 3 minutes)
- VII. NEXT MEETING: June 18, 2025
- VIII. ADJOURNMENT

Chairman – LaMarr Anderson (01)	Tony West (03)	Dylan Blankenship (06)	Kenneth Hoffman (10)
Vice Chair – Misty O'Connor (09)	Alexandria Hoffman (04)	Adam Jenski (07)	Thomas Bergey (11)
Sharmin Oathout (02)	Jozef Slowik (05)	Craig Hanson (08)	Abby Raisanen(12)

MINUTES

REGULAR MEETING DSJ BUILDING

April 16, 2025 4:30 P.M.

LOWER LEVEL CONFERENCE ROOM

I. CALL TO ORDER; ROLL CALL

Ms. O'Connor called the meeting to order at 4:39 p.m.

Members present and establishing a quorum were: Misty O'Connor, Sharmin Oathout, Tony West, Jozef Slowik (left @ 6:16 p.m.), Dylan Blankenship, Craig Hanson,

Kenneth Hoffman and Abby Raisanen

Members absent and excused: LaMarr Anderson and Thomas Bergey

Members absent: Alexandria Hoffman and Adam Jenski

Staff present: Suzanne Reilly, Asset Manager

Joseph Metzger, Land Management Division Manager Margie Cobb, Department Administrative Specialist

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Agenda approved as presented.

III. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION (Limit 3 minutes)

Rob Wells – Thanked the Board for their continued work on the issues. Shared with the Board the 2022 USDA Census of Agriculture Alaska State Profile listing farm statistics.

Beverly Cutler – Noted that neither her farm or Rob Wells' farm is on the farm list in the packet. Encouraged Board to ensure incentives do not keep farmers from farming but allow people who want to farm to be able to farm on 10-20 acres; no one knows the future.

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The March 19, 2025 Minutes were approved as presented.

V. ITEMS OF BUSINESS

A. Staff Report

Suzanne Reilly: Mat-Su Farms: List has almost doubled; this is a "living document" and there are still more farms to add; encouraged Board members to contribute or notify her of changes that are needed.

47 48 49 50			Joseph Metzger: During the Community Development Budget Hearing the Community Development Director shared with the Assembly that this Board is working on potential changes to Ag Code for smaller parcels.
51 52 53 54 55 56 57		В.	Resolution 25-02: A RESOLUTION OF THE MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH AGRICULTURE ADVISORY BOARD RECOMMENDING THE MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH ASSEMBLY ADOPT ORDINANCE SERIAL NO. 25-023, CONVEYING THE BOROUGH'S DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS INTEREST TO TORY AND CYNTHIA OLECK FOR FEE SIMPLE TITLE WITH AGRICULTURAL COVENANTS (MSB001235).
58 59			Staff Report: Ms. Reilly shared a brief overview of the program and the application.
60 61 62			Mr. West moved to approve this Resolution; Ms. Slowik seconded. Motion passed unanimously.
63		~	
64		C.	Assessor's Office
65			Ms. King spoke to the Borough's letter regarding SB179 and answered questions; she
66			also spoke on the properties under the Borough's Ag Program and shared that these
67			parcels do not qualify for the tax breaks due to tax breaks already applied to these
68			properties.
69			Mr. Eveland spoke to the consequences of smaller ag parcels and answered questions.
70			
71		D.	Work Session – New Title
		D .	WOLK Session – New Title
72			M. H. CC.
73			Mr. Hoffman motioned to go into Committee As A Whole at 5:22 pm.;
74			Mr. Blankenship seconded.
75			
76			Ms. Raisanen motioned to come out of Committee as a Whole at 6:17 pm;
77			Mr. Blankenship seconded.
78			1
79			
80	VI.	MEN	IBER COMMENTS (Limit to 3 minutes)
	V 1.	IVIEIV	IDER COMMENTS (Limit to 5 minutes)
81			
82			Blankenship: Liked the thought on "what is the goal" during the work session.
83		Mr. H	Hanson: Happy to join this Board. Been in land development business and know
84		how o	complicated this topic is.
85		Mr. H	Ioffman: Excited there will be meetings through the summer.
86		Ms. C	Dathout: Smaller parcels can help to make new farmers more successful.
87			Vest: Has the desire to see more ag ground be productive; producing something is
88			than nothing.
89			O'Connor: Glad Assessors came in to share and clarify information. Encouraged
90			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			one to raise their voices to local and state policymakers. Shared how good the
91			agh EMS presentation of being prepared for volcano eruption and encouraged
92		every	one to have a plan.
93			
94	VII.	NEX'	Т MEETING: May 21, 2025
95			

97	VIII.	ADJOURNMENT		
98		Ms. O'Connor adjourned the mee	ting at 6:23 p.m.	
99				
100				
101				
102				
103			LaMarr Anderson, Chairman	DATE
104				
105	ATTE	EST:		
106				
107				
108				
109	Margi	ie Cobb		
110	Depar	rtment Administrative Specialist		

Mat-Su Borough Agricultural Programs - Parcel Survey %

The Mat-Su Agricultural Advisory Board is considering an option to allow agricultural land to be divided into parcels less than 40 acres (the current minimum size). This survey is to seek feedback from parcel owners in a Borough Agricultural Program about allowing smaller farm parcels. (12 questions)

Required
1. What Borough agricultural program applies to your property? *
Title 15
Title 13
Title 23
○ I don't know
2. Is your property currently used for agricultural activities? *
○ Yes
○ No
3. If yes, approximately how many acres are used for agricultural activities? *
Less than 20 acres
O 20-40 acres
100-200 acres
Over 200 acres

4.	Wha	at products do you grow or produce? *
		Vegetables
		Livestock
		Hay
		Floriculture/Horticulture
		Greenhouse
		Other
5.	Wou	uld you support a proposal to allow agricultural land parcels of less than 40 acres? *
	\bigcirc	Strongly Support
	\bigcirc	Support
	\bigcirc	Neutral
	\bigcirc	Oppose
	\bigcirc	Strongly Oppose
6.	Wha	at do you think should be the minimum size for agricultural land parcels? *
	\bigcirc	10 acres
	\bigcirc	20 acres
	\bigcirc	40 acres (current minimum size)
	\bigcirc	Other
7.	Wha	at benefits do you see in allowing smaller agricultural land parcels? (Select all that apply)
		Improved food security
		Lower financial burden for new farmers
		Easier land transfer to the next generation
		Increased land lease opportunities
		Better land utilization
		Other

8.	Wha app	at concerns do you have about allowing smaller agricultural land parcels? (Select all that ly)
		Gradual loss of agricultural land
		Reduced agricultural productivity
		Potential for non-agricultural use
		Loss of large contiguous farming areas
		Other
9.	land	proved by the Assembly, owners of a Borough Ag parcel could "opt in" to dividing their ag into smaller parcels. What do you believe are good ways to ensure the land continues to be d for agricultural production? (Select all that apply) *
		Require annually, a copy of the federal Schedule F or C (which require minimum revenues of \$2,500.)
		Require a farm plan showing commercial purpose
		If insufficient documentation is filed for a given year, raise assessed land value to market value (ie: disallow farming discount on land)
		Allow only one subdivision under this provision
		Limit homesite acreage
		Require Borough-approved farm plan
		Other
10.	plar fibei agri	-Su Borough Code 23.05.150 states, "Agriculture" means the production and harvest or care of its, animals, birds, fish, bees, and other organisms by humans for use in providing food, fuel, shelter, clothing, energy, and aesthetics. Kennels and catteries are not considered as an cultural use. If smaller parcels are allowed, do you think any of the above should be llowed? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
	\bigcirc	Maybe
11.	If yo	ou answered "Yes" or "Maybe" in the previous question, please elaborate. *

 $This \ content \ is \ neither \ created \ nor \ endorsed \ by \ Microsoft. \ The \ data \ you \ submit \ will \ be \ sent \ to \ the \ form \ owner.$

Microsoft Forms