## MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH AGRICULTURE ADVISORY BOARD

Chairman – LaMarr Anderson (01)	Tony West (03)	Dylan Blankenship (06)	Kenneth Hoffman (10)
Vice Chair – Misty O'Connor (09)	Alexandria Hoffman (04)	Adam Jenski (07)	Thomas Bergey (11)
VACANT (02)	Jozef Slowik (05)	Craig Hanson (08)	Abby Raisanen(12)

### **AGENDA**

REGULAR MEETING
DSJ Building / Lower-Level Conference Room

October 15, 2025 4:30 P.M.

Call In #: 1-907-290-7880

**Participant Code: 625 235 317#** 

I. CALL TO ORDER; ROLL CALL

II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA; PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: September 17, 2025 Meeting

IV. AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION (Limit 3 minutes)

- V. ITEMS OF BUSINESS
  - A. Staff Report Joe Metzger
    - 1. Board Member Renewals
  - B. Guest Speaker Margaret Adsit, Lands Coordinator AK Farmland Trust
  - C. WORK SESSION (as time allows) Develop Draft Legislation
    - 1. Staff to present:
      - a) Timeline to present legislation quick or complete
      - b) Amendment to Title 23 voluntary program
    - 2. Moving Forward committee?
    - 3. Model considerations
      - a) Other governmental land agencies their land size allowances & restrictions
      - b) Economic input affecting ideal land size
      - c) Farmland Trust Land Link
      - d) Additional Details needed
- VI. MEMBER COMMENTS (Limit to 3 minutes)
- VII. NEXT MEETING: November 19, 2025
- VIII. ADJOURNMENT

## MATANUSKA-SUSITNA BOROUGH AGRICULTURE ADVISORY BOARD

3 Dylan Blankenship (06) Kenneth Hoffman (10) Chairman - LaMarr Anderson (01) Tony West (03) Alexandria Hoffman (04) Vice Chair - Misty O'Connor (09) Adam Jenski (07) Thomas Bergey (11) Abby Raisanen(12) Jozef Slowik (05) Craig Hanson 08) VACANT (02) 4 **MINUTES** \*\*DRAFT \*\* 5 6 7 **September 17, 2025 REGULAR MEETING** 4:30 P.M. 8 **DSJ BUILDING** LOWER LEVEL CONFERENCE ROOM 9 10 11 12 I. CALL TO ORDER; ROLL CALL 13 Mr. Anderson called the meeting to order at 4:34 p.m. 14 Members present and establishing a quorum were: LaMarr Anderson, Misty O'Connor, Jozef Slowik (by phone), Dylan Blankenship, Kenneth Hoffman, and Abby Raisanen 15 16 Members absent and excused: Tony West, Craig Hanson, Thomas Bergey Members absent: Alexandria Hoffman, Adam Jenski 17 Staff present: Suzanne Reilly, Asset Manager 18 Margie Cobb, Department Administrative Specialist 19 20 21 22 APPROVAL OF AGENDA; PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE 11. 23 Agenda approved as presented. 24 25 26 III. **AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION (Limit 3 minutes)** 27 Mark Troutman, Rob Wells, and Beverly Cutler spoke in support of development of 28 legislation to allow for smaller ag parcels and urged the Board to move this forward as 29 quickly as possible. Ms. Cutler also sent a letter, which is attached. 30 31 32 IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES 33 The August 20, 2025 Minutes were approved as presented. 34 35 V. 36 ITEMS OF BUSINESS

37

40

41 42 43

44 45

46

1

2

- 38 Staff Report – Ms. Reilly gave an update on the Board on the following topics: A. 39
  - 1. Leatham/Pettitt QCD
  - 2. Pt Mac Farms Update
  - 3. KTNA Radio Interview re: Agriculture in the Upper Susitna Valley
  - B. MSB Ag Program Survey Results

Discussion on the survey results and its impact on the future work of the Board. It was agreed that the survey was helpful to address fundamental questions this Board had.

	sembly while ensuring de minimis lo	ss of agricultural
iana		
Mr. Haffman mationed to	go into Committee As A Whole at 5	.50 mm . Mm
		it of Committee as
a whole at 0.18 pm, Mr. 11	offman seconded.	
MEMBER COMMENTS (Limit to	o 3 minutes)	
•	· ·	
	• •	Poord is at a point
	id great during the Fair. Happy this	Board is at a point
	nformative survey. Important to ren	nember that as this
		nember mai, as mis
•	• • • •	t into nutting out
•		
	iportant question that needs to be ac	diobbod for duriont
	hts shared by the Board. She distril	outed a couple
tomorrow.		
NEXT MEETING: October 15, 2	025	
ADJOURNMENT		
	ing at 6:35 p.m.	
	8 a. 0.000 h	
	LaMarr Anderson, Chairman	DATE
EST:		
ie Cobb		
E	Investigate the feasibility of recommendation to the Assiland  Mr. Hoffman motioned to Blankenship seconded. Ma Whole at 6:18 pm; Mr. Hoffman: Farm Bureau dato move forward.  Mr. Hoffman: Farm Bureau dato move forward.  Mr. Slowik: Appreciated the initial is built, it will still be voluntared by Mr. Blankenship: This is an information owners and future land sales.  Mr. Reilly: Appreciated though handouts summarizing survey survey; per request of the Chartomorrow.  NEXT MEETING: October 15, 20  ADJOURNMENT  Mr. Anderson adjourned the meet	Investigate the feasibility of smaller parcels and more subdivis recommendation to the Assembly while ensuring de minimis locational.  Mr. Hoffman motioned to go into Committee As A Whole at 5 Blankenship seconded. Mr. Blankenship motioned to come of a Whole at 6:18 pm; Mr. Hoffman seconded.  MEMBER COMMENTS (Limit to 3 minutes)  Ms. Raisanen: Shared about her fair participation.  Mr. Hoffman: Farm Bureau did great during the Fair. Happy this to move forward.  Mr. Slowik: Appreciated the informative survey. Important to rer is built, it will still be voluntary for property owners to opt in to.  Ms. O'Connor: Expressed appreciation for the hard work that wen the survey and how good it is to have members on this Board who  Mr. Blankenship: This is an important question that needs to be ad owners and future land sales.  Ms. Reilly: Appreciated thoughts shared by the Board. She distril handouts summarizing survey results and solutions to concerns expanded to the Chairman, these will be emailed to all Bromorrow.  NEXT MEETING: October 15, 2025  ADJOURNMENT  Mr. Anderson adjourned the meeting at 6:35 p.m.

Little Susitna Farm 8470 N. Russet Road Palmer, AK 99645 (907) 232-3230 bevcut@gmail.com

September 9, 2025

MSB Agriculture Advisory Board 350 East Dahlia Avenue Palmer. AK 99645

Dear Members of the Mat-Su Agriculture Advisory Board,

I write to you ahead of next week's September 17, 2025 meeting from the perspective of an original purchaser of a MSB Ag Rights parcel at the 1977 sale. Since that time I have experienced all nature of Ag issues in this state for all these decades. At last month's meeting, I waited patiently while I hoped the main topic on the agenda, the recent "Survey", would get addressed alongside the related issue raised before the board for years now about future parcel size for MSB agricultural parcels.

The purpose of this letter is to ask you to please take action at the upcoming meeting to actually send a proposal to the MSB Assembly about the last sentence above. Those of us from the '77 sale and other early '80's sales have been waiting so long for a determination of this issue (our estate planning is severely impacted) that we are likely to die before any of this gets resolved despite our best efforts to join cooperatively with the MSB in forward looking progress.

Today your board is stable, informed, and simply needs to weigh in to get something to the Assembly. Both Rob Wells (my neighbor) and myself, who both attend your meetings with great interest, purchased our Ag Rights parcels nearly 50 years ago. We believe we may be the only original owners from that sale still on their property. In 1977 MSB sold our Ag rights to us with a 20 year contract, which each of us fulfilled fully by the end of the 20 years, upon which MSB gave us our quitclaim deed. Since then, 28 more years have passed, during which we have continued to faithfully farm, because we love doing that, even though we fulfilled the contract more than double its time long ago. If I might say so, Rob Wells' and our farm are both examples of exactly what the MSB wanted to accomplish with that 1977 program.

However, the issues confronting people now in 2025 about how to successfully farm in Alaska, as well as the inevitable MSB appropriate changes in its uses of its

lands over more than half a century, are very different situations now from the time the early Ag Programs for MSB parcels were in the making.

We don't pretend to have a magic vision, nor can we speak for all others who may have more recently obtained MSB Ag land. But we do believe that following our nearly fifty years of time, money, personal and professional efforts to use our land as MSB intended, it is time for a change. None of our heirs can afford to do what we did on such big parcels nor does it make any economic or practical sense for them to try.

But we would like to afford to a great many others the opportunity to live rurally as we have, to grow things in the ground, to provide food and other enhancements of life such as flowers, and to compensate the MSB appropriately with taxes for the privilege of doing so. Allowing 5 and 10 acre parcels would do just that. On property such as ours, the gorgeous views many would have on very livable parcels from which they would have access to nearby municipalities for jobs, schools, and stores would provide an incredible revenue to the borough.

Please help us find a way to benefit those coming after us like this, as well as for us to make necessary estate plans.

Very sincerely yours,

Beverly W. Cutler and Mark Troutman

Bevorly W. Cutter

(husband and diligent farmer though a man of few words)

# Mat-Su Borough Survey Summary

# Pros & Cons of Allowing Agricultural Parcels as Small as 10 Acres

## Pros

5-3

Benefit	% Support	Comments
Easier land transfer to the next generation	72%	"It's hard to pass land to kids equally with current parcel sizes."
Improved food security	48%	"Smaller farms can help provide a more secure local source for farm products."
Lower financial burden for new farmers	48%	"Smaller parcels reduce the cost of entry into farming."
Better land utilization	44%	"Unused land could be farmed by future owners if protected."
Increased land lease opportunities	32%	"More parcels mean more chances to lease land for agriculture."
Support for niche/small- scale farming	_	"Think greenhouse operations, floriculture, and micro-farming—perfect for Alaska's climate."
Stronger community and tax revenue	_	"Smaller parcels could create a more diverse ag community and increase property tax revenues."

# ▲ Cons

Concern	% Concerned	Comments
Gradual loss of agricultural land	44%	"This initiative will erode the rural lifestyle and transform large parcels into subdivisions."
Loss of large contiguous farming areas	36%	"Subdividing land breaks up the space needed for serious farming."
Risk of hobby farming over commercial agriculture	_	"Smaller parcels will only create hobby farms; people will still need day jobs."
Insufficient oversight and enforcement	_	"The Borough lacks the capacity to enforce ag use and prevent misuse."
Environmental and neighbor impacts	_	"Runoff, noise, and chemical use could affect nearby residents."
Increased pressure for residential development	_,	"Ag land will be used for housing rather than farming."
Economic viability concerns for small farms	_	"Farming is hard and rarely profitable—smaller parcels won't change that."

# ∑ Solutions to Concerns About Allowing 10-Acre Agricultural Parcels Mat-Su Borough Agricultural Programs Survey – Response Planning Output Description: Mat-Su Borough Agricultural Programs Survey – Response Planning Output Description: Mat-Su Borough Agricultural Programs Survey – Response Planning Output Description: Mat-Su Borough Agricultural Programs Survey – Response Planning Mat-Survey – Response P

▲ Concern: Gradual Loss of Agricultural Land (44%)

#### Solutions:

- Implement agricultural use covenants on subdivided parcels.
- Partner with land trusts to preserve farmland through easements.
- Offer tax incentives only for actively farmed parcels.

▲ Concern: Loss of Large Contiguous Farming Areas (36%)

#### Solutions:

- Limit the number of subdivisions per original parcel.
- Create buffer zones between parcels to maintain farming corridors.
- Encourage cooperative farming models across adjacent small parcels.

🛕 Concern: Risk of Hobby Farming Over Commercial Agriculture

#### Solutions:

- Provide grants or startup support for commercial-scale farming.
- Require a farm plan for parcels receiving ag tax benefits.
- Promote education and mentorship programs for new farmers.

▲ Concern: Insufficient Oversight and Enforcement

#### Solutions:

- Establish a Borough Ag Compliance Office or task force.
- Use annual reporting (e.g., Schedule F or farm activity logs).
- Leverage community-based monitoring and peer accountability.

▲ Concern: Environmental and Neighbor Impacts

#### Solutions:

- Enforce best practices for chemical use and waste management.
- Require setbacks and buffer zones for livestock or spraying.
- Offer training on sustainable farming for small parcel owners.
- ▲ Concern: Increased Pressure for Residential Development

#### Solutions:

- Restrict non-agricultural development on ag-zoned parcels.
- · Allow limited homesites with strict acreage caps.
- Create rezoning review boards to evaluate development proposals.
- ▲ Concern: Economic Viability of Small Farms

#### Solutions:

- Provide access to shared equipment and co-op services.
- Support **niche markets** like floriculture, honey, or greenhouse crops.
- Offer marketing and distribution support for small producers.